

20 May 2015

SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION SEC Building, EDSA, Greenhills Mandaluyong City, Metro Manila

Attention:

Atty. Justina Callangan

Director

Corporate Finance Department

Dear Atty. Callangan:

Re:

Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation's (PSPC)

Amended Quarterly (17-Q) Report for the

Period Ended 31 March 2015

We are submitting an amended SEC Form 17-Q Report for the period ended 31 March **2015**, which was filed to the Securities & Exchange Commission ("SEC") on 15 May 2015. The amendments seek to correct the following information on page 14, Note 9:

- 1. Indicate BPI's full name as "Bank of the Philippines Islands", for clarity;
- 2. Reflect the correct bank (BPI) from which the Php11B loan was secured; and
- 3. Reflect the correct bank (BPI) from which the Php5B loan was secured.

The above-mentioned corrections were duly highlighted in the attached amended report.

We trust that you will find the amended 17-Q for the period ended 31 March 2015 fully compliant with the SRC and related rules and regulations.

Very truly yours,

Erwin R. Orocio
Corporate Secretary

	1 4 8 2 9 S.E.C. Registration Number
PILIPINAS SHELL	PETROLEUM
CORPORATION	
(Company's F	ull Name)
1 5 6 V A L E R O S T S	A L C E D O V I L L A G E
B A R A N G A Y B E L - A I (Business Address, No. St	R M A K A T I C I T Y reet City/Town/Province)
MA. LOURDES O. DINO	816-65-01
Contact Person AS AMEN	Company Telephone Number
Fiscal Year	Annual Meeting
Secondary Licens Applicable	
C F D Dept. Requiring this Doc.	Amended Articles Number/Section
	Total Amount of Borrowings
Total No. of Stockholders	43,177,000,000 Foreign
To be accomplished by SEC Personnel concerned	
File Number	LCU
Document I. D.	Cashier
STAMPS	

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

	QUARTERLY REPORT PUI REGULATION CODE		ED CTION 17 O			
	NEOCEANOR CODE	AND ONG NOE	□ 17(□)(♥) .		es ne q	
1.	For the quarterly period ended	March 31, 2	015	C	JUL 1 3 201	
2.	Commission identification numb	er 14	329		301. 0 801.	<u></u>
3.	BIR Tax Identification Number	000-10	34-757		A PARAMO SUBJECT TO REAL SOLUTION OF THE SAME CONTRACTS	MAN COLUMNIA
4.	Exact name of issuer as specifie	d in its chapter		'		
	PILIPINAS S	HELL PETROLE	UM CORPO	RATION	1	
5.	Province, country, or other jurisc	liction of incorpo	ration or orga	anization	Philippines	
6.	Industry Classification Code:		(SE	C Use Only)	
7.	Address of issuer's principal office	ce			Postal code	
	Shell House, 156 Valero Street, Sa	lcedo Village, Bara	ngay Bel-Air M	akati City	1227	
8.	Issuer's telephone number, inclu	ıding area code		(632) 816 (3501	
9.	Former name, former address, a	and formal fiscal	year, if chan	ged since la	ast report N/A	
10.	Securities registered pursuant to	Sections 8 and	12 of the Co	de, or secti	ons 4 and 8 of RS	SA.
	Title of Class		stoc		res common ing and amount of ig	
	N/A			N/A		
		-				
11.	Are any or all of the securities lis	sted on a Stock I	L Exchange?	Yes[]	No [X]	
12.	Indicate by check mark whether (a) has filed all reports requesthereunder or Sections Sections 26 and 141 of twelve (12) months (or reports) Yes [X] No	uired to be filed vos 11 of the RS the Corporation for such shorter	A and RSA Code of the	Rule 11(a Philippines,	a)-1 thereunder, , during the prece	and ding
	(b) has been subject to suc Yes [X] No		ents for the p	oast ninety ((90) days	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page No.
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation Unaudited Balance Sheet As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014	4
Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation Unaudited Statement of Income For the period ended March 31, 2015 and 2014	5
Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation Unaudited Statement of Comprehensive Income For the period ended March 31, 2015 and 2014	6
Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation Unaudited Statement of Cash Flows For the period ended March 31, 2015 and 2014	7
Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation Unaudited Statement of Changes in Stockholder's Equity For the period ended March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2	
Selected Notes to Financial Statements	9-22
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations	22-24
SIGNATURES	25

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1

PILIPINAS SHELL PETROLEUM CORPORATION

BALANCE SHEET
AS OF 31 MARCH 2015 and 31 DECEMBER 2014
(In Thousand Pesos)

	Mar 2015 Unaudited	Dec 2014 Audited
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	2,919,676	4,721,647
Receivables, Net	13,141,141	14,004,594
Inventories, Net	14,717,053	16,336,347
Prepayments and Other Current Assets	11,939,787	12,160,188
Total Current Assets	42,717,657	47,222,776
Non Current Assets		
LT Receivables, Rentals and Investments, Net	1,631,578	1,389,755
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net	19,155,500	18,630,729
Deferred Income Tax Assets, Net	4,666,196	5,293,928
Other Assets	2,425,862	965,518
Total Non Current Assets	27,879,136	26,279,930
TOTAL ASSETS	70,596,793	73,502,706
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses	16,128,629	19,892,922
Dividends Payable	9,668	9,668
ST Borrowings	15,177,000	21,550,000
Current Portion of LT Loans	0	11,000,000
Total Current Liabilities	31,315,297	52,452,590
Non Current Liabilities		
LT Loans Payable	28,000,000	12,000,000
Other Liabilities	6,167,635	5,219,474
Total Non Current Liabilities	34,167,635	17,219,474
STOCK HOLDERS' Equity	5,113,861	3,830,642
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHODERS' EQUITY	70,596,793	73,502,706

STATEMENT OF INCOME - UNAUDITED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 31 MARCH 2015 AND 2014 (In Thousand Pesos except per share amounts)

1Q	1 Q		YTD March	YTD March
2015	2014		2015	2014
Unaudited	Unaudited		Unaudited	Unaudited
39,026,346	57,912,223	Net Sales	39,026,346	57,912,223
(34,895,460)	(56,372,567)	Cost of Sales	(34,895,460)	(56,372,567)
4,130,885	1,539,656	Gross Profit	4,130,885	1,539,656
(1,951,298)	(2,620,648)	Selling, General and Administrative Expenses	(1,951,298)	(2,620,648)
19,513	388,797	Other operating income (expense), net	19,513	388,797
2,199,101	(692,195)	Income from Operations	2,199,101	(692,195)
(541,294)	(428,541)	Finance Costs, Net	(541,294)	(428,541)
1,657,807	(1,120,736)	Income Before Provision for Income Tax	1,657,807	(1,120,736)
324,044	(46,446)	Current Income Tax	324,044	(46,446)
(809,901)	387,649	Deferred Income Tax	(809,901)	387,649
(485,857)	341,203	Total Tax (Expense)/Income	(485,857)	341,203
1,171,950	(779,533)	Net Income/(Loss)	1,171,950	(779,533)
1.70	(1.13)	Earnings per share* (basic and diluted)	1.70	(1.13)

^{*}Earnings per share are calculated by dividing net income over number of common shares outstanding (# of Common Shares: 691,271,425)

STATEMENTS OF TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME - UNAUDITED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 31 MARCH 2015 AND 2014 (In Thousand Pesos)

iQ	1Q	YTD March	YTD March
2015	2014	2015	2014
1,171,950	(779,533) Net Income (Loss)	1,171,950	(779,533)
	Other comprehensive income (loss)		
	Increase (Decrease) in fair value of available-for-sale		
(2,905)	(68,750) financial assets	(2,905)	(68,750)
1,169,045	(848,283) Total Comprehensive Income for the period	1,169,045	(848,283)
	Total comprehensive income attributable to:		
1,169,045	(848,283) Equity holders of the company	1,169,045	(848,283)
	Non-controlling interest		
1,169,045	(848,283)	1,169,045	(848,283)

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS - UNAUDITED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 31 MARCH 2015 AND 2014 (In Thousand Pesos)

Adjustments: Depreciation 510,971 505	,533) ,427 ,111) ,694)
Adjustments: Depreciation 510,971 505 Other Movement in Property, Plant and Equipment 19,218 (16)	,427 ,111)
Depreciation 510,971 505 Other Movement in Property, Plant and Equipment 19,218 (16	,111)
Other Movement in Property, Plant and Equipment 19,218 (16	,111)
	,694)
\'''-'	,694)
and cash equivalents, and deferred tax 3,442,147 (970	-
Increase (decrease) in current liabilities other than current	
portion of long-term loans, and short-term borrowings (3,764,291) 11,191	,460
Net Cash from Operating Activities 1,379,995 9,930	,549
CASH FLOW FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES	
Additions to Property, Plant and Equipment (1,054,960) (707	,805)
Increase/(Decrease) in Other Liabilities 948,161 2	,536
(Increase)/Decrease in Long Term Receivable, Rentals and Investments	
	<u>,184)</u>
Net Cash used in Investing Activities (1,808,967) (1,658	,453)
CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES	
Proceeds/(Payment) from borrowings, Net (1,373,000) (9,569	,000)
Net Cash used in Financing Activities (1,373,000) (9,569	,000)
NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN CASH & CASH EQUIVALENTS (1,801,972) (1,294	,904)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	
Jan 1 4,721,647 6,16	,150
Mar 31 2,919,675 4,866	3,246

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 31 MARCH 2015 AND 2014 (In Thousand Pesos)

	2015	2014
	Unaudited	Audited
Common Stock	758,885	758,885
Paid-in surplus	7,437,829	7,437,829
Fair Value Adjustments	322,931	325,836
	8,519,645	8,522,550
Retained Earnings		
Balance at beginning of the year (as reported)	(4,184,802)	4,524,373
Net Income/(Loss) for the period	1,171,950	(8,488,580)
IAS 19 Impact posted to Retained Earnings	114,174	(220,595)
Balance at the end of the period	(2,898,678)	(4,184,802)
Treasury Stock	(507,106)	(507,106)
Total Stockholders' Equity	5,113,861	3,830,642

PILIPINAS SHELL PETROLEUM CORPORATION NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AS OF AND FOR THE PERIODS ENDED 31 MARCH 2015 AND 31 MARCH 2014 (In Pesos '000 unless otherwise stated, except per share amounts)

Note 1 - General information

Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation (the "Company") was incorporated in the Philippines and registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on 9 January 1959 primarily to engage in the refining and marketing of petroleum products. On 5 December 2008, the SEC approved the extension of the corporate term of the Company for another fifty (50) years from 9 January 2009 to 8 January 2059.

The Company is 67% owned by The Shell Petroleum Company Limited (SPCL), a corporation registered under the laws of the United Kingdom and 33% owned by Filipino and other foreign shareholders. In early 2009, the ownership of SPCL was transferred to Shell Overseas Investments BV (SOIBV), a corporation registered under the laws of Netherlands. The ultimate parent of the Company is Royal Dutch Shell plc. (RDS) (Incorporated in the United Kingdom).

The Company is considered a public company under Section 17.2(c) of the Securities Regulation Code (SRC) Rule 68, as amended on 20 October 2011 and Rule 3.1 (i) of the Implementing Rules and Regulation of the SRC, which defines a public company, among others, as any corporation with total assets of more than P350 million or total liabilities of more than P250 million. The Company with assets of at least P50 million and having two hundred (200) or more shareholders each of which holds at least one hundred (100) shares of a class of its equity securities is also covered by additional requirements under SRC Rule 68, as amended, Part II. As at 31 March 2015, the Company has 359 shareholders (2014 – 359, 2013 – 359 and 2012 - 362), 333 of whom hold at least 100 shares of the Company's common shares (2014 – 333, 2013 – 333 and 2012 - 337).

The Company has its principal office at Shell House, 156 Valero Street, Salcedo Village, Barangay Bel-Air Makati City and has an oil refinery in Tabangao, Batangas and various oil depots and installations all over the Philippines. The Company has 765 regular employees as at 31 December 2014 (2013 - 741).

Note 2 - Summary of significant accounting policies

The significant accounting policies applied in the preparation of the financial statements are set out below. These policies have been consistently applied to all the years presented, unless otherwise stated.

2.1 Basis of preparation

These interim financial statements for the three months ended 31 March 2015 have been prepared in accordance with Philippine Accounting Standards (PAS 34) 'Interim financial reporting'. The interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the annual financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2014, which have been prepared in accordance with Philippine Financial Reporting Standards (PFRS).

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with PFRS requires the use of certain critical accounting estimates. It also requires management to exercise its judgment in the process of applying the Company's accounting policies. The areas involving a higher degree of judgment or complexity, or areas where assumptions and estimates are significant to the financial statements are disclosed in Note 4 of the 2014 annual financial statements.

(a) New and amended standards adopted by the Company

A number of new standards and amendments to standards and interpretations are effective for the first time for the financial year beginning on or after 1 January 2013 have been adopted by the Company and their impact were recognized on the interim financial statements:

PAS 19 (Amendment), Employee Benefits (effective 1 January 2013). These amendments eliminate the corridor approach and calculate finance costs on a net funding basis. It also requires recognition of all actuarial gains and losses in other comprehensive income as they occur and of all past service costs in profit or loss. The amendments replace interest cost and expected return on plan assets with a net interest amount that is calculated by applying the discount rate to the net defined benefit liability (asset). The Company has adopted the same for the year 2013.

PAS 27 (Revised), Separate Financial Statements (effective 1 January 2013). As a consequence of the new PFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements and PFRS 12, Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities, what remains of PAS 27 is limited to the accounting and disclosure requirements for subsidies, jointly controlled entities, and associates in the separate financial statements.

PAS 28 (Revised), Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures (effective 1 January 2013). This revised standard includes the requirements for joint ventures, as well as associates, to be equity accounted following the issue of PFRS 11.

PFRS 1 (Amendment), Government Loans (effective 1 January 2013). This amendment addresses how a first-time adopter would account for government loan with a below-market rate of interest when transitioning to PFRS. It also adds an exception to the retrospective application of PFRS, which provides the same belief to the first-time adopters granted to existing preparers of PFRS financial statements when the requirements was incorporated into PAS 20 in 2008.

PFRS 7 (Amendment), Disclosures – Offsetting Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (effective 1 January 2013). This amendment includes new disclosures required to include information that will enable users of an entity's financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements, including rights of set-off associated with the entity's recognised financial assets and recognised financial liabilities on the Company's financial statements.

PFRS 9, Financial Instruments (effective 1 January 2015). This new standard addresses the classification, measurement and recognition of financial assets and financial liabilities. It replaces the parts of PAS 39 that relate to the classification and measurement of financial instruments. PFRS 9 requires financial assets to be classified into two measurement categories: those measured as at fair value and those measured at amortized cost. The determination is made at initial recognition. The classification depends on the entity's business model for managing its financial instruments and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the instrument. For financial liabilities, the standard retains most of the PAS 39 requirements. The main change is that, in cases where the fair value option is taken for financial liabilities, part of the fair value change due to an entity's own credit risk is recorded in other comprehensive income rather than profit or loss, unless this creates an accounting mismatch. The Company intends to adopt PFRS 9 beginning 1 January 2015. The Company will also consider the impact of the remaining phases of PFRS 9 when issued. However, adoption is not expected to have a significant impact on the financial statements since the Company do not have complex financial instruments.

PFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements (effective 1 January 2013). This new standard builds on existing principles by identifying the concept of control as the determining factor in whether an entity should be included within the consolidated financial statements of the parent company. The standard provides additional guidance to assist in the determination of control where this is difficult to assess. The Company has applied that guidance in assessing possible control of the Company in its interests on other entities. Based on the Company's assessment, the Company do not have investments that would qualify as an investment in a subsidiary as at all the reporting periods presented.

PFRS 11, Joint Arrangements (effective 1 January 2013). This new standard is a more realistic reflection of joint arrangements by focusing on the rights and obligations of the arrangement rather than its legal form. There are two types of joint arrangement: joint operations and joint ventures. Joint operations arise where a joint operator has rights to the assets and obligations relating to the arrangement and hence accounts for its interest in assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. Joint ventures arise where the joint operator has rights to the net assets of the arrangement and hence equity accounts for its interest. Proportional consolidation of joint ventures is no longer allowed.

PFRS 12, Disclosures of Interests in Other Entities (effective 1 January 2013). This new standard includes the disclosure requirements for all forms of interests in other entities, including joint arrangements, associates, special purpose vehicles and other off balance sheet vehicles.

Amendments to PFRS 10, 11 and 12 - Transition Guidance (effective 1 January 2013). These amendments provide additional transition relief to IFRSs 10, 11 and 12, limiting the requirement to provide adjusted comparative information to only the preceding comparative period. For disclosures related to unconsolidated structured entities, the amendments will remove the requirement to present comparative information for periods before IFRS 12 is first applied.

PFRS 13, Fair Value Measurement (effective 1 January 2013). This new standard aims to improve consistency and reduce complexity by providing a clarified definition of fair value and a single source of fair value measurement and disclosure requirements for use across PFRS. The requirements, which are largely aligned with IFRS and US GAAP, do not extend the use of fair value accounting but provide guidance on how it should be applied where its use is already required or permitted by other standards within PFRS or US GAAP.

There are no other PFRS or IFRIC interpretations that are not yet effective that would be expected to have a material impact on the Company.

2.2 Offsetting financial instruments

Financial assets and liabilities are offset and the net amount reported in the balance sheets when there is a legally enforceable right to offset the recognized amounts and there is an intention to settle on a net basis, or realize the asset and settle the liability simultaneously. There are no offsetting financial instruments as at 31 March 2015.

Note 3 - Financial risk management

3.1 Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that suitable sources of funding for the Company's business activities may not be available. The Company has access to sufficient external debt funding sources (banks credit lines) to meet currently foreseeable borrowing requirements. The Treasury group centrally monitors bank borrowings, foreign exchange requirements and cash flow position.

Surplus cash is invested into a range of short-dated money market instruments, time deposits and money funds, which seek to ensure the security and liquidity of investments while optimizing yield.

Management monitors rolling forecasts of the Company's liquidity reserve on the basis of expected cash flow. Forecasted liquidity reserve for 2015 is as follows:

	2015
Opening cash balance for the year	4,721,647
Net cash from operating activities	7,024,784
Capital expenditures	(3,469,457)
Net cash from other investing activities	35,475
Net cash used in financing activities	(3,663,488)
Ending cash balance for the year	4,648,961

The Company has unused credit lines of PhP51.7 billion as at 31 March 2015 (PhP40.9 billion as at 31 December 2014 and PhP35.7 billion as at 31 December 2013) and undrawn borrowing facilities at floating rate amounting to PhP40.6 billion (2014 – PhP40.9 billion and 2013 - PhP24.8 billion), which is expiring within one year.

The Company has a PhP11.1billion credit facility with STCE, which does not have an expiration date.

3.2 Gearing ratio

The gearing ratio is a measure of the Company's financial leverage reflecting the degree to which the operations of the Company are financed by debt. The amount of debt that the Company will commit

depends on cash inflow from operations, divestment proceeds and cash outflow in the form of capital investment, dividend payments and share repurchases. The Company aims to maintain an efficient balance sheet to be able to finance investment and growth, after the funding of dividends.

The gearing ratio is calculated as net debt divided by total capital. Net debt is calculated as total loans and borrowings less cash and cash equivalents.

While the Company does not have a fixed gearing target and management considers whether the present gearing level is commercially acceptable based on the ability of the Company to operate on a stand-alone basis and is set after appropriate advice has been taken from Tax, Treasury and Legal advisors.

The gearing ratios at 31 March 2015 and 31 December 2014 were as follows:

	2015	2014
Total loans and borrowings	43,177,000	44,550,000
Less: cash and cash equivalents	2,919,676	4,721,647
Net debt	40,257,324	39,828,353
Total equity (excluding fair value adjustments)	4,790,930	3,504,806
Total capital	45,048,254	43,333,159
Gearing ratio	89%	92%

The gearing ratio improved from 92% as at December 2014 to 89% in 2015 due to the income in the current quarter.

The Company is not subject to externally imposed capital requirement.

Note 4 - Cash and cash equivalents

The account as at 31 March 2015 and 31 December 2014 consists of:

	2015	2014
Cash on Hand and in Banks	2,919,676	4,721,647
Short-term Placements	-	-
Total	2,919,676	4,721,647

The average actual interest rate on short-term placements in YTD March 2015 was 0.80% p.a, as against the market benchmark rate of 0.79% p.a., with an average tenor of 7 days compared to 0.43% in the same period in 2014 with an average tenor of 7 days. This fall in interest rate during 2014 is in line with the money market trends in the Philippines on account of fall in inflation rate and fall in global interest rate scenario.

Note 5 - Receivables

The account as at 31 March 2015 and 31 December 2014 consists of:

	2015	2014
Trade	9,235,747	9,886,399
Related Parties	489,827	557,813
Provision for Impairment of Trade Receivables	(456,260)	(214,665)
	9,269,314	10,229,547
Related Parties (Non-Trade)	572,491	-
	9,841,805	10,229,547
Others:	4,088,573	4,117,972
Provision for Impairment of Other Receivables	(789,237)	(342,925)
	3,299,336	3,775,047
Total	13,141,141	14,004,594

Note 6 - Inventories

The account as at 31 March 2015 and 31 December 2014 consists of:

	2015	2014
Crude Oil and Products	14,384,377	18,830,491
Materials and Supplies	353,573	354,112
	14,737,950	19,184,603
Allowance for Inventory Losses	(20,897)	(2,848,256)
Total	14,717,053	16,336,347

Note 7 - Long-term receivables, rentals and investments

The account as at 31 March 2015 and 31 December 2014 consists of:

	2015	2014
Loans to an entity under common control	379,678	379,678
Advance rentals	801,852	850,070
Market investment loans (a)	77,619	83,817
Investments in associates and jointly-controlled entity (b)	52,883	43,676
Other long-term assets	159,597	7,221
	1,471,629	1,364,462
Long-term receivables (c)	179,524	44,868
Provision for impairment of long-term receivables	(19,575)	(19,575)
	159,949	25,293
	1,631,578	1,389,755

(a) Market investments loans

Market investment loans consist of unsecured promissory notes collectible from third party customers on various dates up to year 2016 with interests ranging from 9% to 18%.

(b) Investments in associates and jointly-controlled entity

The Company entered into an agreement with two (2) other oil companies to integrate their operations at the Pandacan depot while retaining individual ownership of their facilities (e.g. tanks, equipment, etc.). Pandacan Depot Services, Incorporated, a jointly-controlled entity owned by the parties under the agreement has been incorporated and registered with the SEC on 29 September 2004. Actual operations of the jointly-controlled entity started on 1 November 2004.

In 2011, the Company purchased 44% interest in Bonifacio Gas Corporation at an acquisition price of P34 million from SGLPI.

(c) Long-term receivables

As at 31 March 2015 and 31 December 2014, long-term receivable of PhP20 million was impaired and fully provided. The individually impaired receivables mainly relate to Batangas Bay Carriers Inc., which is in difficult economic situation, and are aged over a year.

As at 31 March 2015 and 31 December 2014, there are no other long-term receivables that are past due but not impaired.

The carrying amounts of the Group's long-term receivables are denominated only in Philippine pesos.

The other classes and balances within long-term receivables, rental and investments are fully performing.

The maximum exposure to credit risk at the reporting date is the fair value of each class of receivable mentioned above.

Note 8 - Short-term borrowings

The account consists of unsecured short-term loans from various local commercial banks intended to fund crude and product importations and working capital requirements. The loans mature on various dates.

The average actual interest rate/cost of funds on local borrowings for Q1 2015 was 2.05% p.a., as against the market benchmark rate of 1.50%. During Q1 2015, the average tenor of borrowing was 9.28 days.

During the previous comparison period, Q1 2014 – the actual interest rate was 1.77% p.a. (market benchmark rate of 1.43% p.a.) with an average borrowing tenor of 8.5 days.

Note 9 - Loans payable

On 17 January 2014, the Company entered into a loan agreement with Bank of Philippine Islands ("BPI") to obtain an unsecured loan of P5 billion. Details of the loan as at 31 March 2015 follow:

Amount	Interest	Terms
5,000,000	2.7632% as a that loan date effective until next repricing.	t Payable after thirty-six (36) months reckoned from the drawdown date on 17 January 2014. Principal is payable in lump sum at maturity date. Interest is repriced every three (3) months.

On 28 January 2014, the Company entered into a loan agreement with Metropolitan Bank to obtain an unsecured loan of P7 billion. Details of the loan as at 31 March 2015 follow:

Amount	Interest	Terms	
7,000,000	4.2100% as that loan date effection until next repricing.	Payable after thirty-six (36) months reckoned from drawdown date on 28 January 2014. Principal is pay lump sum at maturity date. Interest is repriced every the months.	/able in

On 02 March 2015, the Company entered into a loan agreement with BPI to obtain an unsecured loan of P11 billion. Details of the loan as at 31 March 2015 follow:

Amount	Interest		Terms				
11,000,000							
	that loan duntil next re					ipal is paya priced every	•

On 02 March 2015, the Company entered into a loan agreement with BPI to obtain an unsecured loan of P5 billion. Details of the loan as at 31 March 2015 follow:

Amount	Interest	 	Terms							
5,000,000	2.8113% that loan d until next re	ctive	drawdow	n date	on 02 Mai	rch 20	15. Princ	reckoned ipal is paya riced every	ble in I	ump

Total interest expense charged to operation on the above loans until March 2015 amounted to PhP400.5 million.

Under the loan agreements, the Company is required to comply with certain covenants, as follows:

- Maintenance of the Company's legal status.
- Ensure that at all times the loans rank at least *pari passu* with the claims of all other unsecured and insubordinated creditors except those whose claims are preferred by any bankruptcy, insolvency, liquidation or other similar laws of general application.
- The Company shall not create or permit to subsist any encumbrance over all or any of its present or future revenues or assets other than a permitted encumbrance as defined in the loan agreements.

 The Company shall duly pay and discharge all taxes, assessment and charges of whatsoever nature levied upon or against it, or against its properties, revenues and assets prior to the date on which penalties attach thereto, and to the extent only that the same shall be contested in good faith and by appropriate legal proceedings.

The Company is in compliance with the covenants as at 31 March 2015.

Note 10 - Other liabilities

The account as at 31 March 2015 and 31 December 2014 consists of:

	2015	2014
Provision for legal cases	1,561,022	1,561,022
Asset retirement obligation	1,768,849	1,611,137
Operating lease	655,906	693,086
Provision for remediation and demolition costs	828,952	818,867
Cash security deposits	335,704	379,744
Other liabilities	1,017,202	155,618
Outof descende	6,167,635	5,219,474

Note 11 - Dividends

No dividends declared for 2014 and 2015 yet.

Cash dividends declared in 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

D:	ate			
Declared	Paid	Per share	2013	2012
16-Apr-2013	16-May-2013	0.69	475,812	-
17-Apr-2012	17-May-2012	7.04	-	9,143
17-Apr-2012	17-May-2012	7.04	<u>-</u>	4,854,837
14-Dec-2011	13-Jan-2012	5.20	<u></u>	-
14-Dec-2011	21-Dec-2011	5.20	-	-
26-Apr-2011	12-May-2011	3.50	-	
			475,812	4,863,980

Included in the balance of retained earnings is the amount of PhP1.1 billion representing the retained earnings of Shell Philippines Petroleum Corporation as at 30 June 1999 upon its merger with the Company. The said amount is available only for stock dividends.

In 2015, dividends payable amounting to PhP9.7 million (2012 - PhP9.1 million) in the balance sheet is presented net of applicable withholding tax.

As at 31 March 2015 and 31 December 2014, the Company's accumulated earnings are tied-up to dividend declaration and anticipated operating and capital expenditures.

Note 12 - Earnings per share

Computations of earnings per share for the periods ended are as follows:

March 2015	December 2014	December 2013
1,171,950	(8,488,580)	(912,108)
758,885,514	758,885,514	758,885,514
, ,	(67,614,089)	(67,614,089)
691,271,425	691,271,425	691,271,425
1.70	(12.28)	(1.32)
	1,171,950 758,885,514 (67,614,089) 691,271,425	1,171,950 (8,488,580) 758,885,514 (57,614,089) (67,614,089) 691,271,425 691,271,425

Note 13 - Contingencies

Tax cases

(a) Excise tax on Importations of Catalytic Cracked Gasoline(CCG) and Light Catalytic Cracked Gasoline (LCCG)

Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation vs. Commissioner of Customs, Collector of Customs of the Port of Batangas, Bureau of Customs and Bureau of Internal Revenue CTA Case Nos. 8004 and 8121, Court of Tax Appeals, 2nd Division CTA Case No. EB 1007/1003, Court of Tax Appeals En Banc

Filed December 03, 2009

Matter Summary: The Bureau of Customs assessed the Company, more than PHP7.3 Billion for claimed excise taxes on the importation of Catalytic Cracked Gasoline and Light Catalytic Cracked Gasoline (CCG/LCCG) components from 2004-2009.

The Company filed a Petition for Review with the Court of Tax Appeals to contest the ruling of the BOC. This case was consolidated with a petition against a ruling by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue dated 15 December 2009, which requires double taxation on CCG/LCCG.

The Government later accepted the Company's offer to post a surety bond to answer for any damage that a Suspension Order might cause to the government. The posting of the bond was approved by the CTA which prevented the BOC from implementing further seizures of the company importations.

Status: In a Resolution dated 27 November 2012, the Court granted the company's Motion for Summary Judgment and ruled that the government is enjoined from collecting the alleged unpaid excise taxes and VAT on the company's CCG/LCCG importations for the periods in 2004 to 2009. The government filed a Petition for Review with the CTA En Banc. Meanwhile, the company filed its own Petition for Review with the CTA En Banc because the CTA did not invalidate the 15 December 2009 Ruling of the CIR with respect to double taxation. The parties' memoranda were filed in November 2013.

Awaiting resolution by the CTA En Banc.

(b) Batangas Local Tax Case

Batangas City, Maria Teresa Geron, in her capacity as City Treasurer of Batangas City and Teodulfo A Deguito in his capacity as City Legal Officer of Batangas City vs. Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation

SC-G.R. No. 187631, Supreme Court, 3rd Division

Filed in SC May 08, 2009

Matter Summary: In 2003, the City of Batangas assessed the company, Php4,000,000 for Mayor's permit and Php405,029,973.04 as business taxes on the basis of the volumes of petroleum products manufactured and distributed thru the company's Tabangao refinery located within Batangas City. It is the company's position that it is not liable for said amounts since petroleum products are exempt from local taxes under the Local Government Code. Batangas City, on the other hand, posits that what are being taxed are the businesses of manufacturing and distribution, and not the petroleum products themselves.

The 2nd Division of the CTA rendered a decision finding the Company not liable for these taxes and further declared that the amount assessed as mayor's permit fees was excessive. The City was further precluded from imposing taxes on the manufacture and distribution of petroleum products and was ordered to refund the excessive mayor's permit fees. The City of Batangas filed a Petition for Review with the CTA *en banc* which also affirmed the decision of the 2nd. Hence, the appeal by the City of Batangas to the Supreme Court.

Status: Awaiting action by the Supreme Court.

(c) Claim for Refund of Excise Taxes

Commissioner of Internal Revenue vs. Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation
SC-G.R. No. 188497, Supreme Court

Filed September 7, 2010

Matter Summary: The Company filed for refund of excise taxes on petroleum products sold to international carriers covering October 2001 to June 2002 in the amount of **Php95,014,284.00**. CTA Division level and En Banc granted the refund and BIR appealed to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court First Division reversed the Decision of the Court of Tax Appeals.

Other similar claims for refund are pending.

Status: On 22 May 2012, the company filed its Motion for Reconsideration of the Supreme Court Decision. The CIR and OSG filed their Comments to this Motion for Reconsideration. The Company also filed a motion for leave of court to admit a supplement to the Motion for Reconsideration, which the Supreme Court approved.

On 19 February 2014, the First Division of the Supreme Court abandoned its earlier decision and directed the Commissioner of Internal Revenue to refund or issue a tax credit certificate to the company in the amount of P95,014,283.00 representing the excise taxes it had paid on products sold to international carriers from October 2001-June 2002. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue filed a Motion for Reconsideration dated 28 March 2014. On 9 June 2014, the Supreme Court maintained its Resolution dated 19 February 2014, and denied with finality the Commissioner's motion asking the Court to reconsider said resolution.

(d) Excise tax on Importations of Alkylate
Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation vs. Commissioner of Internal Revenue et al.
CTA Case No. 8535, Court of Tax Appeals, 1st Division

Filed August 24, 2012

Matter Summary: The Bureau of Internal Revenue held that Alkylate, a raw material imported by the company, is subject to excise taxes upon importation. The BIR ordered the collection of Php1,994,500,677.47 in alleged excise taxes for importations of Alkylate in the period from January 2010 to June 2012.

Status: On 22 October 2012, the CTA issued a Suspension Order which means that while the case is pending, the government cannot enforce the collection of the alleged unpaid excise taxes on Alkylate importations from 2010 to June 2012. After the submission by the Company and the Government of arguments and counter-arguments, the CTA resolved to deny the Government's Motion to Dismiss and the subsequent motion for reconsideration. Following the denial of the Motion to Dismiss, the Bureau of Customs appealed to CTA En Banc, while the Bureau of Internal Revenue (BIR) brought the matter to the Supreme Court. In a Resolution dated 10 February 2014, the CTA En Banc dismissed the appeal filed by the Bureau of Customs which the Bureau of Customs later on elevated to the Supreme Court.

On 2 June 2014, the Company filed a Petition for Certiorari with Application for the Issuance of a Temporary Restraining Order and/or Writ of Preliminary Injunction with the SC questioning the denial of its application for the issuance of a suspension order against the assessment and collection of excise taxes on its March 2014 alkylate shipment.

On 7 July 2014, the Supreme Court consolidated the petitions which stemmed from this issue and are now pending before said court, to wit: (1) appeal filed by the Bureau of Customs raising the question of jurisdiction (SC G.R. No. 211294), (2) appeal filed by PSPC challenging the denial of its application for Suspension Order for its March 2014 alkylate shipment (SC G.R. 212490), and (3) appeal filed by the Bureau of Internal Revenue likewise raising the issue of jurisdiction (SC G.R. No. 210501). The Supreme Court also issued a temporary restraining order enjoining the CTA and the tax-collecting agencies of the government from imposing excise taxes on incoming alkylate importations of the Company.

Meanwhile, the main case on the merits continue with the CTA. On 13 February 2015, the court denied the Company's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings. The Company filed a Motion for Reconsideration of this resolution of the CTA.

(e) Tax Credit Certificates Cases

1. Commissioner of Internal Revenue vs.Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation SC GR No. 204119-20, Supreme Court 2nd Division

Filed 5 December 2012

Matter Summary: This is an appeal from the Decision of the Court of Appeals which affirmed the Court of Tax Appeals in setting aside the CIR's demand for payment of the sum of PhP1,705,028,008.06 as the Company's excise tax liabilities for the years 1992, 1994-1997, which were paid by the Company through TCCs and TDMs.

Status: Awaiting action by the Supreme Court.

2. Commissioner of Internal Revenue vs. Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation SC-G.R. No. 197945, Supreme Court

Filed October 04, 2011

Matter Summary: From 1988 to 1997, the Company paid some of its excise tax liabilities with Tax Credit Certificates duly assigned and transferred to it by other BOI-registered entities. In 1998, the BIR sent a collection letter to the Company demanding payment of allegedly unpaid excise taxes. This became the subject of several protests which led to various cases before the CTA.

This is an appeal from the Decision dated 22 February 2011 of the Court of Tax Appeals in CTA EB Case No. 535 which denied the CIR's petition for lack of merit and ruling that the company has duly settled its excise tax liabilities by utilizing valid and genuine TCC/TDMs, obtained in good faith and for value, and in accordance with the applicable laws and rules.

Status: Awaiting further action by the court.

3. Republic of the Philippines rep. by Bureau of Customs vs. Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corporation & Filipino Way Industries

SC-G.R. No. UDK 14908, Supreme Court

Matter Summary: Sometime in March 1996, TCCs were issued to Filway Industries for customs duties and taxes allegedly paid on raw materials used in the manufacture, processing or production of knitted fabrics. In 1997, Filway executed a deed of assignment over the TCCs in favour of the Company. The Company then utilized said TCCs to settle its customs duties and taxes on oil importations. According to the government, it was discovered that the said credit memos were fake and spurious as they did not conform to the records. Thus, the TCCS were cancelled and BOC is demanding anew for the payment of custom duties and taxes for the Company's importations.

This is an appeal by the government from the decision of the Court of Appeals affirming the orders of RTC Manila Branch 49 that dismissed the case

Status: Awaiting action by the Supreme Court.

Pandacan Zoning Ordinance

Social Justice Society (SJS) Officers VS Alfredo S. Lim, in his capacity as Mayor of the City of Manila and Jose L. Atienza, Jr. et.al. VS Mayor Alfredo S. Lim, et. al (Ordinance 8187)

SC-G.R. No. 187836 consolidated with Case No. 187916, Supreme Court

Filed June 01, 2009

Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corp. vs. City of Manila, et al. (Re: Ordinance No. 8283), Case No. 13-1034, Regional Trial Court of Makati City

Filed on 29 August 2013

Matter Summary: On 28 May 2009, the Mayor of Manila approved Ordinance No. 8187, which repeals or amends the zoning ordinances (Ordinance No. 8027 and 8119) that earlier required the closure of the Pandacan depots. Social Justice Society and former Manila Mayor Joselito Atienza separately filed their respective Petitions before the Supreme Court challenging the validity of Ordinance No. 8187.

The Company intervened in the cases and asked the Supreme Court to assign a special court or commission to receive evidence on the factual issues such those on safety and environment. The Supreme Court has ordered the consolidation of the cases.

Status: On 29 August 2013, The Company filed a Petition for Declaratory Relief to challenge the validity of Ordinance No. 8283. This Ordinance re-classifies the area of the Pandacan Terminal into a Commercial/Mixed Use Zone and requires the removal of the oil terminal by January 2016. In view of this, The Company informed the Supreme Court that the pending matter regarding Ordinance No. 8187 should be dismissed.

On 25 November 2014, the SC decided to declare Ordinance No. 8187 unconstitutional and invalid with respect to the continuing stay of the Pandacan depots. The Court gave the oil companies 45 days to submit a comprehensive plan and relocation schedule and then six (6) months to implement the said plan. On 5 January 2015, the Company filed its Motion for Reconsideration.

In a Resolution dated 10 March 2015, the Supreme Court denied the Company's Motion for Reconsideration. The Court ordered the observation of the prescribed timelines indicated in its decision and the proscription against the filing of further pleadings, motions or papers.

Cases Filed by the West Tower Condominium Corporation

(a) West Tower Condominium Corp., on behalf of the residents of West Tower Condominium and in representation of Barangay Bangkal, and others, including minors and generations yet unborn vs. First Philippine Industrial Corporation, First Gen Corporation and their respective Board of Directors and Officers, John Does and Richard Does (Writ of Kalikasan Case)

SC-G.R. NO. 194230, Supreme Court

Filed November 21, 2010

Matter Summary: This is a petition for the permanent closure of the 117km white oil pipeline (WOPL) that transports finished products from the refinery to the fuel depot in Manila. First Philippine Industrial Corporation (FPIC) owns and operates the WOPL and the Company is one of FPIC's customers.

In 2010, it was discovered that the WOPL had a leak which caused fuel products to seep into the basement of West Tower Condominium. The petitioners filed the present case and applied for a Writ of Kalikasan or an environmental protection order. Since the filing of the case in 2010, the court has ordered the continued shut down of the WOPL. The issue before the court now is whether the shut down should be made permanent.

Status: In August 2013, the Supreme Court adopted the recommendations of the Court of Appeals, requiring the submission of a certification from the Department of Energy that the pipeline is safe for commercial operation. On 29 October 2013, the pipeline company submitted the required certification.

(b) West Tower Condominium Corp. et al. vs. Judge Elpidio R. Calis et al.

CÁ-GR SP No. 125104 (Appeal of Civil Case—Civil Case No. 11-256), Court of Appeals, 6th Division

Filed June 11, 2012

Matter Summary: The Company is a respondent in this Petition for Certiorari filed by West Tower Condominium Corp, et al. to challenge the ruling of Judge Calis requiring the payment of filing fees in the civil case for damages earlier brought by WTCC in connection with the leak in White Oil Pipeline. The issue is whether the case filed with the lower court is exempt from payment of filing fees. The trial court judge earlier ruled that the claim is an ordinary claim for damages.

Status: In a Decision dated 30 June 2014, the Court of Appeals affirmed the ruling of the Regional Trial Court requiring the payment of filing fees. FPIC and its Board of Directors and Officers asked the Court of Appeals to reconsider the part of its Decision retaining the party-complainants previously dropped as parties to the case arguing that the court has no jurisdiction to reinstate these party-complainants. West Tower Condominium Corporation, et al. filed its Motion for Reconsideration arguing that they have satisfied all the requirements in order that this case may be treated as an environmental case which does not necessitate the payment of the filling fees. On 26 September 2014, the Company asked the Court of Appeals to deny the motion for reconsideration filed by West Tower Condominium Corporation, et al. for lack of merit. In its resolution dated 11 December 2014, the Court of Appeals denied the motion for reconsideration filed by the West Tower Condominium Corporation, et. al. The latter elevated the case to the Supreme Court and the same has been docketed as SC G.R. SP-No. 215901.

(c) West Tower Condominium Corp. vs. Garde, et al (Criminal Negligence) NPS No. XV-05-INV-11J-02709, Department of Justice

Filed October 2, 2011

Matter Summary: This is a complaint for criminal negligence against 11 Directors of the company and 2 Officers of the company who are also directors of FPIC. Aside from the other Directors and Officers of FPIC, also charged were Directors of First Gen Corp. and Directors of Chevron.

Each of the company's Directors (11) and Officers (2) filed their respective Counter-affidavits on the 19th of January 2011. The Directors asserted that there is no basis to find them culpable for negligence. The City Prosecutor will make a determination as to the existence of probable cause, which is necessary before the Respondents can be indicted.

Status: The case is pending resolution.

Others

Cecilio Abenion, et al vs. Dow Chemical Co, et al. SC G.R. No. 202295, Supreme Court, 1st Division SC-G.R. Case 199182-89, Supreme Court, 2nd Division

Filed December 23, 2011

Matter Summary: In 1996, an action for damages was filed against several U.S. corporations, including Shell Oil Company, alleged to be manufacturers and users of pesticides used in plantations in Davao City. A global compromise agreement was reached between Shell Oil Company (among others) and the claimants.

In August 2009, a Davao City trial court issued a Notice of Garnishment of the company's funds in a bank supposedly to enforce the compromise agreement. The Company sought and obtained protective relief from the courts on the basis that it was not a party to the case or to the compromise agreement subject of the case.

The Court of Appeals voided the orders of execution and ordered the judge to recuse from further presiding in the proceedings in the trial court.

Status: Two separate petitions for review of the Court of Appeals' decision were filed by the claimants with the Supreme Court. One of the petitions was dismissed by the Supreme Court 1st Division (SC G.R. No. 202295). The other petition is still pending with the 2nd Division (SC G.R. No. 199182-89).

Note 14 - Changes in estimates of amounts

There are no changes in estimates of amounts reported in prior interim periods of the current financial year or changes in estimates of amounts reported in prior financial years that would have a material effect in the current interim period.

Note 15 - Issuances, repurchases, and repayments of debt and equity securities

There are no issuances, repurchases, and repayments of debt and equity securities during the quarter.

Note 16 - Material events subsequent to the end of the interim period that have not been reflected in the financial statements for the interim period

There are no material events subsequent the end of the interim period that has not been reflected in the financial statements for the interim period.

Note 17 – Changes in the composition of the issuer during the interim period, including business combinations, acquisition or disposal of subsidiaries and long-term investments, restructurings, and discontinuing operations

There are no changes of material amount in the composition of the Company during the interim period.

Note 18 - Changes in contingent liabilities or contingent assets

There are no changes of material amount in contingent liabilities or contingent assets since the last annual balance sheet date.

Note 19 - Existence of material contingencies

There are no material contingencies, events or transactions existed that are material to an understanding of the current interim period.

ITEM 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Key Performance Indicators

	YTD March 2015 (Unaudited)	2014 Full year
Current Ratio	1.36	0.90
Debt-to-Equity	8.40	11.34
Debt Ratio	0.57	0.54
Return on Assets	1.66%	(11.54%)
Asset Turnover Ratio	0.55	2.94

- Current ratio is computed by dividing current assets over current liabilities.
- Debt-to-equity ratio resulted by dividing net debt (short-term and long-term borrowings less cash) over stockholder's equity (exclusive of Other reserves).
- Debt ratio is computed as net debt divided by total assets.
- Return on assets is computed as net income after taxes divided by total assets.
- Asset Turnover Ratio is computed as net sales divided by total assets.

(Please note that the numbers for 2015 are only for YTD March while those for 2014 are for the full year).

Known trends, demands, developments, commitments, events or uncertainties that will have a material impact on the issuer's liquidity

The Company has reviewed the known trends, demands, developments, commitments, events or uncertainties during the reporting period and is of the opinion that there are no items which will have a material impact on the issuer's liquidity. (Refer to Note 3.1 Liquidity Risk on page 11)

Any events that will trigger direct or contingent financial obligation

There are no events during the interim period that would trigger direct or contingent financial obligation that is material to the Company, including any default or acceleration of an obligation.

All material off-balance sheet transactions, arrangements, obligations (including contingent obligations), and other relationships of the company with unconsolidated entities or other persons created during the reporting period

There are no material off-balance sheet transactions, arrangements, obligations and other relationships of the company with unconsolidated entities or other persons created during the reporting period.

Material commitments for capital expenditures

For the year 2015, a budget of PhP3.5 billion has been approved for capital expenditures. Bulk of the capital expenditures will be allocated mainly for the new import facility in North Mindanao, Manufacturing Refinery upgrade to handle Euro IV compliance project, its maintenance work and the expansion of service stations and the support of its retail businesses.

Known trends, events, or uncertainties that have had or that are reasonably expected to have a material favourable or unfavourable impact on Net Sales/Income from continuing operations

Global developments, especially in the Middle East, will continue to impact the volatility of crude oil prices, both internationally and in the domestic market.

Significant elements of income or loss that did not arise from the issuer's continuing operations

There are no significant elements of income or loss that did not arise from the Company's continuing operations.

Profitability

Good start for the year considering the challenging and largely unfavorable latter half of 2014 – characterized by the continuous slide in the crude prices in the international market.

Sales revenue decreased by 33% to PhP39.0 billion in Q1 2015 compared to PhP57.9 billion in Q1 2014 despite the 11% growth in overall sales volume. The decrease was mainly due to price effect with significantly lower product prices in Q1 2015 vs. the same period in 2014.

Retail volumes increased due to successful marketing initiatives e.g. SM Advantage Card, higher penetration of differentiated fuels and contributions of new-to-industry retail sites acquired last year.

Commercial fuels volumes increased due to higher lifting from resellers to meet higher consumer demand during public holidays.

Gross margin registered as of Q1 2015 was at PhP4.1 billion compared to PhP1.5 billion for the same period in 2014. Crude prices continue to be low at an average of USD52/bbl in Q1 2015 when compared to USD104/bbl in the previous year. Fuel Oil-Dubai cracks have strengthened by USD6.6/bbl and Naphtha-Dubai cracks strengthened by USD3/bbl vs. previous year driving the notable increase in refining margins.

Average crude prices:

USD/BARREL	Jan	Feb	Mar
2014	\$ 104.02	\$ 105.04	\$ 104.32
2015	\$ 45.57	\$ 55.44	\$ 54.66

Operating & Other expense decreased from PhP2.2 billion in Q1 2014 to PhP1.9 billion in Q1 2015 primarily due to decrease in overhead charges.

Finance costs increased from PhP0.4 billion in Q1 2014 to PhP0.5 billion in Q1 2015 primarily due to increase in borrowings.

As a result of the reasons stated above the Company registered a **Net Income After Tax** for YTD March 2015 of PhP1.2 billion compared to Net loss of PhP0.8 billion same period last year.

Financial Position

Cash and Cash Equivalents decreased from PhP4.7 billion as at December 31, 2014 to PhP2.9 billion as at March 31, 2015 due to repayment of borrowings.

Receivables decreased from PhP14.0 billion as at December 31, 2014 to PhP13.1 billion as at March 31, 2015 due to mainly lower value of sales brought about by lower product prices.

Inventories decreased from PhP16.3 billion as at December 31, 2014 to PhP14.7 billion as at March 31, 2015 due to better working capital management and reduction in oil prices.

LT Receivables, Rentals and Investments, Net increased from PhP1.4 billion as at December 31, 2014 to PhP1.6 billion as at March 31, 2015 due to increase in available for sale securities and investment in associates.

Deferred Income Tax Assets, Net decreased from PhP5.3 billion as at December 31, 2014 to PhP4.7 billion as at March 31, 2015 due to profit made during the quarter.

Other Assets increased from PhP1.0 billion as at December 31, 2014 to PhP2.4 billion as at March 31, 2015 mainly due to pension asset revaluation.

Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses decreased from PhP19.9 billion as at December 31, 2014 to PhP16.1 billion as at March 31, 2015 mainly due to reduction in purchases as a result of reduced business activity as a reaction lowering crude prices.

ST Borrowings decreased from PhP21.6 billion as at December 31, 2014 to PhP15.2 billion as at March 31, 2015 mainly due to repayment of the loans from funds sourced via long term loans.

Long Term Loans Payable (inclusive of the current portion) increased from PhP23.0 billion as at December 31, 2014 to PhP28.0 billion as at March 31, 2015 due to loan being borrowed to fund working capital requirements and pay off short term borrowings.

Seasonal aspects that had a material effect on the financial condition or results of operations

There are no seasonal aspects during the interim period that have a material effect on the financial results of operations.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Regulation Code, the issuer has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Issuer:

Signature and Title:

ATTY. ERWIN R. OROCIO Corporate Secretary

Date: May 29, 2015

Principal Financial/Accounting Officer/Controller:

Signature and Title: _

Vice President – Finance and Treasurer

Date: May 29, 2015